EXHIBIT 32

Case 6:20-cv-01214-ADA-DTG Document 41-3 Filed 11/08/21 Page 2 of 5



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/135,145	04/30/2002	Gustavo Mata	2000.079600	5112	
	23720 7:	590 01/16/2004		EXAMINER		
		WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C. 10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100			GANDHI, JAYPRAKASH N	
	HOUSTON, TX 77042			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2125	10	
				DATE MAILED: 01/16/2004	ι^{υ}	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

•	Case 6:20-cv-01214-ADA-DTG L		21 Page 3 of 5				
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/135,145	MATA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jayprakash N Gandhi	2125				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 September 2003</u> .						
		action is non-final.					
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
-	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>7</u>	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/135,145 Page 2

Art Unit: 2125

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 12, 19 and 26 recite, "detecting an occurrence of a predetermined event", it is not understood why, one needs to detect "a predetermined (to consider and plan in advance)" event and change the scheduling action accordingly. Claims 2-11, 13-18, 20-25 and 27-32 are included in this rejection because of their dependency.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-53 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Parad (U. S. Patent 5,369,570).

Regarding claims 1, 12, 19 and 26, Parad discloses all the claimed limitations of the invention, including haven't method, computer-readable medium, computer system and apparatus for scheduling in automated manufacturing environment (ABSTRACT).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/135,145

Art Unit: 2125

Parad does not positively disclose of having software-scheduling agent, but the claimed element is inherent property of Parad invention.

Regarding claims 33 and 44, Parad clearly discloses an automated manufacturing environment (ABSTRACT), including a plurality of work pieces/processing satiations and a plurality of software-scheduling agents (inherent property).

Regarding claims 2-11, 13-18, 20-25, 27-32, 34-43 and 45-53, the claimed elements are clearly disclosed in column 4, lines 67⁺, column 5, lines 5-14, and figures 2-8. It is to be noted dependent claims recited "at least one of".

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jayprakash N Gandhi whose telephone number is 703-305-7513. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00 (Mon. - Thu.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo P Picard can be reached on 703-308-0538. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5484.

Jayprakash N Gandhi Primary Examiner Art Unit 2125